



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_5198
Title of the Manuscript:	Preparation , characterization , Theoretical Treatment and adsorption on surfaces N5 acyclic Immobilizes on Silica Gel for Removal of some Toxic Metals
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The language of manuscript is poor. Results Part is insufficient and inexplicable. At the same time, the language of this part is poor. There is no “discussion” part in the manuscript. This is an important lack of manuscript. The authors did not provide a comparison of their results to the removal of the same compounds by other sorbents. It would be interesting to compare N5 sorbent with other adsorbent materials from literature in order to improve the paper discussion.	I'm not agree the discussion part in page 3
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		